## STATE OF FLORIDA PUBLIC EMPLOYEES RELATIONS COMMISSION CASE NO. SM-2017-023

TALLAHASSEE COMMUNITY COLLEGE BOARD OF TRUSTEES,

VOLUME 3

and

Pages 257 through 338

UNITED FACULTY OF FLORIDA,

PROCEEDINGS: IMPASSEE HEARING

BEFORE: M. SCOTT MILINSKI

SPECIAL MAGISTRATE

DATE: Thursday, March 1, 2018

TIME: Commenced at 10:05 a.m.

Adjourned at 6:15 p.m.

LOCATION: Tallahassee Community College

Hinson Administration Building

Tallahassee, Florida

REPORTED BY: MICHELLE SUBIA, RPR, CCR

Notary Public in and for

the State of Florida

at Large

WILKINSON & ASSOCIATES
Certified Court Reporters
Post Office Box 13461
Tallahassee, Florida 32317
850-224-0127

Wilkinsonandassociates@comcast.net

1	APPEARING ON BEHALF OF TALLAHASSEE COMMUNITY COLLEGE:
2	JAMES C. CROSLAND, ESQUIRE
3	and
4	DENISE M. HEEKIN, ESQUIRE
5	Bryant Miller & Olive
6	1 SE Third Avenue, Suite 2200 Miami, Florida 33131
7	
8	APPEARING ON BEHALF OF UNITED FACULTY OF FLORIDA:
9	TOM WAZLAVEK, UNION REPRESENTATIVE
10	United Faculty of Florida 115 Calhoun Street, Suite 6
11	Tallahassee, Florida 32301
12	and
13	MARTIN BALINSKY, TCC FACULTY REPRESENTATIVE
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	<u>I N D E X</u>
2	MITTENTING
3	WITNESS PAGE
4	MARTIN BALINSKY 260
5	Direct Examination by Mr. Wazlavek 261 Cross Examination by Mr. Crosland 273
6	Further Direct Examination by Mr. 289 Wazlavek
7	
8	
9	
10	* * *
11	
12	
13	
14	EXHIBITS
15	
16	UFF Exhibit No. 2 for identification 271
17	UFF Exhibit No. 7 for identification 328
18	UFF Exhibit No. 8 for identification 328
19	UFF Exhibit No. 9 for identification 329
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

### 1 PROCEEDINGS 2 (CONTINUED FROM VOLUME 2) Today is March 1st and 3 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: 4 the second day of our hearing, and the union is 5 still proceeding, correct? 6 MR. WAZLAVEK: Correct. We're ready to pick What we're going to do is back up to Article 7 8 6, which is kind of where we left off, and run 9 through -- I think we'll get to Article 13 and 10 then we should pick back up where the 11 administration was. 12 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Okay. MR. WAZLAVEK: At this point, I would like to 13 14 put Mr. Martin Balinsky on the witness stand. 15 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Okay. Just to remind you, you've already been sworn in, like some other 16 witnesses today that have already been sworn in. 17 18 MR. BALINSKY: And we've got a 228.18. 19 Should I start a list for today? 20 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Yeah, you can just draw 21 a line. 22 MR. BALINSKY: Okay. 23 24 Thereupon, 25 MARTIN BALINSKY

was called as a witness, having been previously duly 1 2 sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 3 4 DIRECT EXAMINATION 5 BY MR. WAZLAVEK: 6 Q Mr. Balinsky, would you please explain our 7 position on continuation of rights? 8 Continuation of rights, this is essentially 9 continuing any rights that previously existed with 10 faculty that are not specifically identified in the 11 CBA, simple items such as, for example, we currently 12 can go to the gym for free, and that will continue. So it's maintenance of standards clause? 13 14 Maintenance of standards clause, right. 15 And would you talk about selection of Q Okay. 16 schedules by faculty, 6.02? This was a major topic yesterday. 17 we are very much committed to two items, not only 18 seniority, which I'll talk about in a minute, but also 19 20 first rights of refusal. That is current practice and 21 we believe very firmly in it. 22 The full-time faculty of the college have 23 received extensive interview and vetting, specifically 24 by the Deans and, therefore, are the members of the

bargaining unit that are most experienced in the

25

college, therefore, first right of refusal, we feel, is best for students to have the first choice as long as you meet the deadlines set by the Dean for extra assignments and also for summer classes.

And I can go on and talk about seniority.

### Q Yeah, go ahead, let's talk about seniority.

A Seniority is objective, seniority is clear, there are clearly set rules. You do not have rewards based upon individual preferences by the Dean. And it also -- as we previously stated, it does protect those that have been with the college longer and therefore it protects against items such as age discrimination, racial discrimination, and things such of that nature.

In addition, I noticed that in one of the criteria on the college proposal, they indicated that class assignments are partly based upon student success. And when they were asked about that on how to define student success, it was percentages of A's, B's and C's. I feel that that represents a potential danger of grade inflation if individuals realize that they will be more likely to receive assignments based upon more A's, B's and C's, then they may be more inclined to grade inflate.

Q All right. And the next section would be right to privacy, 6.03. Would you please explain our

#### position on that?

A Yes. Faculty have their own private lives and we feel that we deserve to -- that should be protected in our own individual lives to have our privacy, our private lives, our individual separate lives from the college need to be protected from unreasonable levels of viewing of our private lives, I would say.

## Q All right. And then surveillance equipment, 6.04?

A Well, we certainly feel that we do not need to be subject to surveilling, that our activities, unless there is reasonable cause for investigation, that there is no reason that we should be subjected to surveilling within our offices and within our email systems and communications and on our telephones. We don't feel that that is appropriate.

And once again, if there's just cause that is established, that's a different matter. But in the regular course of matter, it would have a chilling effect on our faculty if we were being survielled for everything that we are doing.

Q And when you say "a chilling effect," you mean chilling effect on a faculty member's willingness to engage in concerted activity?

A That is correct, I do mean that, yes.

Protected activity, it would have a chilling effect on that. Individually in the classroom, if there was a camera set up in every classroom, for example, we would feel that that would be an unfair intrusion into our teaching.

Q And that would also -- our concern is also about surreptitious surveilling through using cameras in the -- or the audio equipment that's already in the classroom?

A Correct.

Q All right. And then the next two, would you talk about our proposal on legal assistance.

A Yes. We feel that faculty, in the case where there is a case being brought against them as a part of their regular work duties, are entitled to legal assistance by the college and to have attorney representation and to be held harmless when a case is made against the faculty member that is not accurate. So just as they would for any other employee, a staff member, an administrator, that they would protect us just as well.

Q And this is when faculty are engaged in their official duties, right?

A Yes, when engaged in official duties. We

understand when it's in the protected activities, that that's handled in a different manner.

Q All right. And then the big one, seniority. Talk about the rationale for why we believe seniority is so important in things like scheduling classes and right of first refusals and layoffs.

A Absolutely. Seniority is a clear and objective it is a fair standard. It is not subject to discretion by management. It does not subject itself to lobbying and favoritism.

And in terms of seniority, as it's applied broadly, even something such as layoffs, that we'll talk about later, once again, as I stated, it protects against age discrimination. And we'll get into this with layoffs. But it's certainly cheaper to lay off more senior faculty before more junior faculty, so that protects against something of that nature. If you have a seniority system, then you would not have age discrimination and you would also not have higher salary discrimination.

And it's clear it also rewards those who have -- it protects those, actually, who have invested the most into the college. It protects those who have invested the most into the college. If they have invested ten, 15 or 20 years of their own lives into

the college, then that should carry more weight than an 1 2 individual who has invested less time into the college 3 in regards to assigning of classes and in regards to 4 assigning of summer and overload classes, layoffs, and 5 other matters. 6 And as far as you mentioned, right of first 7 refusal, that is a current practice at the college that 8 members of the bargaining unit are afforded first 9 choice for classes before they are offered to members 10 outside of the bargaining unit. So we are only seeking 11 to continue that current practice. And once again, I 12 continue to reference Dr. Balog's presentation about 13 the excellence of TCC. The system appears to be 14 working very well for our students. 15 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Can I ask a clarification question, Martin? 16 THE WITNESS: 17 Yes. SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Just so I understand 18 seniority, seniority based upon date of employment 19 20 with this college? THE WITNESS: I believe so. 21 22 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: And when you say 23 "seniority," I know you've got different ranks, 24 but that's what you're talking about? 25 That is what I mean. THE WITNESS:

1	SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Your date of hire?
2	THE WITNESS: That is absolutely what I mean.
3	SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: As a regular employee?
4	THE WITNESS: Yeah.
5	SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Your date of hire as
6	your regular employee?
7	THE WITNESS: Yes.
8	SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Okay.
9	THE WITNESS: And I heard arguments yesterday
10	made that
11	SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: You might want to define
12	seniority. I don't know if everybody knows what
13	it is, or maybe it's as simple as that.
14	THE WITNESS: Date of hire at the college.
15	MR. WAZLAVEK: Right. And if you look
16	closely at 6.06, we talk about the following order
17	of rank, years in the rank and date of hire.
18	SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Okay.
19	MR. WAZLAVEK: So you would have a full
20	professor, for instance, who would be more senior
21	to an associate professor. And you would also
22	then look within the full professor ranks who has
23	the for instance, who has the longest hire
24	date.
25	SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Uh-huh.

MR. WAZLAVEK: So there are sort of levels 1 2 and sublevels to this. So it would be, for 3 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: example, if you're crossing different ranks, it's 4 5 by rank and date of hire? 6 MR. WAZLAVEK: Correct. So, for example, just so 7 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: 8 I understand -- you already all know this -- I 9 have four professors, and this would be by 10 department, which we call it obviously, I've got 11 to be qualified to teach the history class versus the -- I've got four professors, the most senior 12 there in that rank of professor would have the 13 14 most senior rights? 15 MR. WAZLAVEK: Correct. SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: If I had those four 16 professors but there's an associate professor, is 17 18 it possible that that associate professor could 19 have more seniority? 20 MR. WAZLAVEK: He could have more seniority 21 in date of hire. 22 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Date of hire. That's what I mean, in date of hire. 23 MR. WAZLAVEK: But less seniority in terms of 24 25 rank.

1	SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Rank comes first, then
2	date of hire?
3	MR. WAZLAVEK: Years in rank, date of hire.
4	SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Got it.
5	THE WITNESS: Yeah, it's generally tied
6	together because it goes by years of service. So
7	if you are an assistant professor, you would have
8	so many years of service and then associates, so
9	it tends to not be muddy in any way.
10	SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Okay, I got it. It's
11	not just by date of hire, it's also by rank?
12	MR. WAZLAVEK: Correct.
13	THE WITNESS: Yes.
14	MR. WAZLAVEK: And years within that rank.
15	SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Yeah.
16	MR. WAZLAVEK: So you could have somebody
17	who out of those four professors, you could
18	have somebody who
19	SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Within rank, yes.
20	MR. WAZLAVEK: Longest, and they would be
21	most senior.
22	SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Uh-huh.
23	MR. WAZLAVEK: And they might even well,
24	like Mr. Balinsky said, it would be difficult to
25	have that out of order because you have to have so

many years in each rank to advance to the next 1 2 rank. 3 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Okav. Yeah. 4 MR. WAZLAVEK: So that sort of sets the time 5 frames up. 6 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Okay. I figured that's how it was. 7 8 MR. WAZLAVEK: Yeah. 9 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: You all know that. Ι 10 wanted to make sure. 11 Sure. Of course. THE WITNESS: 12 BY MR. WAZLAVEK: All right. Mr. Balinsky, if you'll talk a 13 little bit about use of summer assignments to build 14 15 extra summer assignments. Some of this, of course, is 16 tied to the bigger issue of workload and reassignment. 17 So first right of refusal, which does 18 mean that, once again, that the class is offered to a 19 member of the bargaining unit before it's offered to 20 those outside the bargaining unit, provided that the 21 faculty meets the deadline established by the Dean for 22 submitting their request for the extra or the summer 23 assignment. And that is the current practice at the 24 college.

All right.

And then I guess we'll go ahead

25

and enter these as an exhibit. 1 2 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Details processed for 3 applying for extra summer assignments is the 4 current practice? What you said, that's also a 5 current practice? 6 THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. WAZLAVEK: Okay. And what I had listed 7 8 there as Exhibit 2, we had to change that, that was yesterday's Exhibit 5, Union's Exhibit 5. 9 10 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Thank you. 11 (UFF Exhibit No. 2 was marked for identification.) 12 BY MR. WAZLAVEK: 13 And last but not least then is Article 6. 14 Q Administrative procedure outlines that. 15 Right. That's why we got that in there? 16 Q 17 Yes. And last but not least, in this particular 18 Q article we have personnel files. Would you please 19 20 explain the rationale for our proposal there? 21 Yes. Faculty need to have free and open 22 access to their own personnel file. It is their 23 They deserve to know exactly what is in business. 24 their personnel file. They need to know the contents 25 of the file. They need to know if any information

about them related to evaluations is present in the 1 2 file, if there's any complaints, emails, or letters from students that are in the file. 3 4 And they also need to have the right to not 5 have any anonymous material in the file. Anonymous 6 material, as described in our article, is not 7 permissible in the file according to our proposal. 8 that is also current practice, because there's no way to authenticate the originator of the material. 9 10 All right. Q 11 MR. WAZLAVEK: That's all we have for 12 Article 6. 13 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: One question real quick. 14 MR. WAZLAVEK: Yes, sir. 15 Everything here in 6.08 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: is current practice that you're proposing? 16 17 MR. WAZLAVEK: As far as I understand it, 18 yes. If I walked down to HR, I 19 THE WITNESS: Yes. 20 would be able to have access to my personnel file 21 currently without cost. 22 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Okay. Thank you. 2.3 MR. WAZLAVEK: We rest on six. 24 MR. CROSLAND: Do you want us to cross? 25 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: I think that's probably

1	a good way to do this.
2	MR. CROSLAND: We can do it right now.
3	MR. WAZLAVEK: Yeah, let's go ahead and do
4	that.
5	MR. CROSLAND: Just very little cross. I'll
6	state our position and ask Martin a few questions
7	maybe.
8	EXAMINATION
9	BY MR. CROSLAND:
10	Q On 6.01, the maintenance or benefits of past
11	practice clause.
12	A I'm sorry, can we go back to 6.01?
13	Q Yes.
14	A But I know what you're talking about, so you
15	can start.
16	Q It's no question that this type of clause
17	does appear in some contracts?
18	A Uh-huh.
19	Q A lot of them. It also doesn't appear in
20	does not appear in a lot of them, especially the ones I
21	negotiated assisted with.
22	MR. CROSLAND: But, seriously, this is a
23	first contract. Our position is the faculty
24	desired to unionize, that's absolutely their
25	right. We have to bargain in good faith. I think

both sides have. But we don't see a necessity to put such a clause in the agreement.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Our position is whatever they want within the four corners of this eventual contract, that they should ask for it and negotiate for it, some they'll get, some maybe they won't get through this whole process. But we just are not inclined to say whatever else you had in the past you've got.

The other problem with these types of clauses is we don't know what we're agreeing to. I've had instances in the past where these clauses have been invoked by unions and they'll say -- and I'm probably going to come up with something silly here -- but, well, we have this clause in the contract and then in years past you had pink toilet paper in the bathrooms instead of green toilet paper. I'm trying to be funny with that But we don't even know what the union will allege to be a prior benefit and we're just reluctant to put that in there. If they want something, ask for it. You may get it, you may not.

MR. WAZLAVEK: We don't care about the color of the toilet paper, we just care that it's soft.

1	MR. CROSLAND: Stipulated.
2	MS. HEEKIN: And just, for instance, the gym
3	example, it's in our Article 24, access to
4	facility.
5	THE WITNESS: Well, it's wellness, access to
6	facility.
7	MS. HEEKIN: Yeah, access to the gym.
8	MR. CROSLAND: No, not wellness.
9	MS. HEEKIN: Access to the gym.
10	MR. CROSLAND: He said wellness.
11	THE WITNESS: But wellness is also in our
12	proposal.
13	MR. CROSLAND: I thought it was dropped.
14	MR. WAZLAVEK: No, I think it's still in our
15	proposal, but we'll get to it.
16	MS. HEEKIN: I think the 90 minutes is
17	dropped.
18	MR. WAZLAVEK: Yeah, the 90 minutes is
19	dropped, right.
20	MS. HEEKIN: And certainly the college
21	offers, I guess, wellness programs, but it's not
22	necessarily the same type every time. So what do
23	you mean by that? What wellness program is it
24	that you guys want? I mean, you have access to
25	whatever everybody else in the college has access

to with respect to that, but they might change.

MR. WAZLAVEK: The issue at hand is maintenance of standards. And certainly we understand the administration's point about, you know, frivolous benefits and claims of benefits and privileges. That's really not what that language was intended to address. It's intended to address those rights and privileges that faculty have enjoyed up to now.

And, you know, just because we didn't cover it in the contract doesn't mean it needs to go away. And that language would prevent individual managers from saying, oh, we're not doing that anymore because you don't have that in your contract.

MR. CROSLAND: Well, individual managers are not going to set policy for this. It depends on the level of them. VP's, yes. Not necessarily other people. Anyway, that's our position on that.

6.02, if you want to put it up.

MR. WAZLAVEK: Yeah, I am.

MR. CROSLAND: Okay. There's two issues here. As I said at the start of the hearing, it is the college's clear position that we do not

want to consider seniority for any purpose in this agreement. As far as course schedules are concerned, that's already addressed in one of our proposals about selection of courses. We have a counter on that, you'll have to look at that. First choice on schedule assignments, same thing, we have a counter on that, and we're simply not breaking those.

Now, I understand they feel strongly about this, but, again, I emphasize it's a first agreement. We're not going all the way the first shot out of the box.

Now, Martin mentioned grade inflation. He may well have a heartfelt opinion on that, but whether that results in grade inflation or not, it seems to me this is an issue that's a management decision as to whether the grading system -- what impact it would have.

Now, the faculty may have thoughts on that and may even offer their opinions. That seems to me something that would be more likely to be discussed in an academic setting like in the faculty center or something.

THE WITNESS: Well, it's in your proposal and you defined as success rates as A's, B's and C's

that's the basis for selection of extra assignments.

MR. CROSLAND: You're right, it is. I'm simply saying that I believe that's in the purview of management to make that call, whether that's a proper way to measure student success.

Right to privacy. We feel this is an unnecessary infusion of a variety of legal issues into a collective bargaining agreement. The issues that Martin has related to us are covered by Title 7, the Florida Civil Rights Act, there's a county law on this, the Leon County Human Resources or Human Rights Ordinance. These are First Amendment issues, potentially. And I believe there's also a college policy 03-01 that covers this.

They don't need to be protected in these areas by a labor agreement. There is a plethora of federal, state, and local ordinances and laws that cover this. If a faculty member feels that they've been discriminated against based on race or age or religion or any of the other protected categories, there's the courts for that, charges of the courts. We don't think it needs to be in the agreement.

I believe the next one was the surveillance equipment.

MR. WAZLAVEK: Just one quick question about right to privacy. You're not taking a position that it's not a term and condition of employment to be negotiated, you're just saying you don't want to negotiate it, you want it in the contract?

MR. CROSLAND: Well, I'll give you an honest answer. I don't know whether the term "right to privacy" is a mandatory subject of bargaining or not. I'm not saying it's not. It might be. I've never researched it. But to answer your question, even if it is, we don't want to put it in the agreement.

MR. WAZLAVEK: That's kind of what I was trying to get you to say, Jim.

MR. CROSLAND: If someone truly felt they were being discriminated against on the basis of one of the protected categories, why would they want to file a grievance over it where the remedies are limited to backpay and benefits and reinstatement as opposed to court where you can get zillions of dollars, maybe, or all sorts of remedies.

MR. WAZLAVEK: Or maybe not.

MR. CROSLAND: Or maybe not, because you win 1 2 them and you lose them. But we just don't need 3 that in a labor agreement. On the surveillance issue, there are no such 4 5 surveillance systems in place now as far as I 6 know. 7 Can I mention something related THE WITNESS: 8 to that? 9 MR. CROSLAND: Yes, of course. 10 MR. WAZLAVEK: Just wait a minute. 11 MR. CROSLAND: I don't care. MR. WAZLAVEK: Go ahead. Do you have some 12 13 information to share about surveillance? 14 THE WITNESS: I do. On the previous 15 published materials for attorney invoices for Tallahassee Community College, there was a memo 16 dated June 2nd, 2016 in which the college explored 17 18 the legality of wiretapping. I believe it was with Crabtree Knox & Andrews. It was one of the 19 20 law firms. 21 MR. CROSLAND: That's the college's general 22 counsel. 23 College's general counsel. THE WITNESS: 24 MR. CROSLAND: Not us. 25 Right, not your law firm. THE WITNESS: The

college explored that. There is a memo relating to exploration of surveillance, whether it is legal to wiretap employees at the college. That's the rationale why faculty has some concerns about that.

MR. CROSLAND: If nothing else, it shows that the college is smart enough to figure out what they can and they can't do, rather than just going ahead and doing it.

THE WITNESS: Right.

MR. WAZLAVEK: And when did the union begin its organizing effort on campus?

THE WITNESS: Okay. So the timing of the memo is June the 2nd, 2016. The union turned in the cards to PERC on May 6th of 2016. So the organizing efforts, we were in the middle of organizing efforts at that time. The election was announced the last two or three days of June of 2016. So June 2nd would have fallen right in the middle of the time when UFF was organized to attempt to bring a collective bargaining chapter on campus.

MR. WAZLAVEK: The college has already decided it would like to look into whether or not it can wiretap and monitor people's phones.

1	MR. CROSLAND: Okay. Well, that's not true
2	and we're going to tell you what that memo was
3	about.
4	Do you know?
5	MS. HEEKIN: Yeah, it was about the you've
6	read the memo, right?
7	THE WITNESS: Yes.
8	MS. HEEKIN: It was about the help desk for
9	student calls and whether they could be taped for
10	quality control purposes, correct?
11	THE WITNESS: Okay.
12	MS. HEEKIN: So it has nothing to do with
13	taping phone calls between faculty members,
14	correct?
15	THE WITNESS: Well, you explored
16	MS. HEEKIN: Just a shrug?
17	THE WITNESS: You were exploring the legality
18	of wiretapping, and that is exploring the legality
19	of wiretapping.
20	MS. HEEKIN: Okay.
21	MR. CROSLAND: But we're telling you what it
22	was about.
23	MS. HEEKIN: Which you agreed to.
24	THE WITNESS: Which I agreed that that's what
25	it was about?

1	MS. HEEKIN: Yeah, about the help desk.
2	THE WITNESS: I didn't necessarily agree that
3	that's what it was about.
4	MS. HEEKIN: Okay. You said, yes, that you
5	had read the memo. Have you read the memo?
6	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I read the memo that it
7	had to do with exploratory wiretapping of
8	individuals.
9	MS. HEEKIN: And that's all you remember
10	about it? You don't remember the context of it,
11	any different type of context in which wiretapping
12	could be used or not used, all you remember is
13	that's what it was about, correct?
14	THE WITNESS: If you explore wiretapping, you
15	explore wiretapping, correct?
16	MS. HEEKIN: Okay. Great. That's all.
17	MR. CROSLAND: Maybe you should go work with
18	Robert Mueller or something.
19	THE WITNESS: Right. Okay.
20	MR. CROSLAND: He can tell you all about it.
21	THE WITNESS: But faculty did have a concern
22	when they saw that on the attorney invoice, that
23	that was looked into.
24	MR. CROSLAND: Okay. We're welcome to let
25	you read it again if you want to.

The next one is 6.05, legal assistance.

There's a Florida Statute on this. There's

general liability laws, but there's also a

4 specific Florida Statute 1012.85 on this. And we

5 can provide it for you, Mr. Balinsky, if you want.

Also, the issue of whether in a given lawsuit that the college will defend itself and a faculty member, for example, jointly, or whether it will advise there's a need for conflict counsel, or whether in some circumstances it's not going to defend the faculty member, depending on what's alleged to have been done and what the facts are available to the college at the time is a purely legal and factual situation. This is a blanket guarantee we're going to defend faculty at all circumstances. That's not the obligation of the college.

And in any event -- and this is my final point on this -- the legal advice to the college in a given case will come from the college's general counsel's firm, not us. General counsel is going to have to advise the college in each individual case what to do.

MR. WAZLAVEK: And we'll note that if you read the actual proposal, that it covers those

1 issues.

MR. CROSLAND: With all due respect, Tom, it can't cover all the issues that arise in these types of lawsuits.

MR. WAZLAVEK: Well, I think the language is broad enough where it certainly puts the faculty member on notice that there may be conflicts of interest with the college's interests and, you know -- it's understood and agreed that any attorney employed by the college will be obliged to represent the college's interests and that a conflict of interest may exist or arise between codefendants in any legal proceedings. I mean, I think that's --

MR. CROSLAND: Okay. I didn't think of it, but Denise pointed out that this also involves rules of the Bar, of the Florida Bar.

MR. WAZLAVEK: Well, that's for you guys to worry about.

MR. CROSLAND: Yeah, but it's also for the college's attorney to worry about at the time.

All I'm pointing out -- it's not any kind of signal being sent that we won't defend any college employee in the right circumstances. But the fact is in all public employment sometimes employees

1	are not defended by the employer.
2	MR. WAZLAVEK: And our language, I think,
3	addresses that possibility.
4	MR. CROSLAND: Okay. We have an agreement,
5	so you can drop your proposal.
6	MR. WAZLAVEK: Nice try, Jim.
7	MR. CROSLAND: 6.06, I don't want to spend
8	anymore time on this.
9	MR. WAZLAVEK: Oh, come on.
10	MR. CROSLAND: You know our position on that.
11	6.07, the same thing. Assignment to extra
12	paid teaching assignments and assignment to the
13	summer assignments are covered in our
14	counterproposals.
15	Personnel file, 6.08. There was a Florida
16	Statute on this 1012.81 and a State Board of
17	Education Rule 6A-14 I can't read my own
18	writing 08. It's either one or seven. They're
19	not too far apart. Denise will provide them for
20	you. I believe under
21	MS. HEEKIN: It's Florida Administrative Code
22	6A-14.047.
23	MR. CROSLAND: Oh, .047?
24	MS. HEEKIN: Yes.
25	MR. CROSLAND: Okay. Once again, if an issue

```
arose, the college's attorney would be consulted.
 1
 2
          But we know there are certain things in college
          personnel files that are excluded from view by the
 3
 4
          public. And we think the statute -- there's a
          specific statute and rule on this and we think
 5
 6
          they adequately addressed the issues. And things
 7
          like medical records we'll keep separate.
 8
          comply with the statute.
 9
               Was that the last one on six?
10
               MR. WAZLAVEK: That is.
11
               MS. HEEKIN:
                            That is.
12
               MR. CROSLAND: So that's our input on six.
13
               MR. WAZLAVEK: All right. Our next
14
          article --
15
               MR. CROSLAND: Wait, I have one other
16
          question.
17
               MR. WAZLAVEK:
                              Sure.
18
     BY MR. CROSLAND:
19
               Martin, you talked about 6.04, the
20
     surveillance issue?
21
               Yeah.
                      Can you go back to that?
22
               And Tom asked you -- you've spoken in general
23
     about it and then Tom asked you your concern to be not
24
     under surveillance. Would that include protected
25
     activity?
```

1	A Certainly.
2	MR. WAZLAVEK: Absolutely.
3	BY MR. CROSLAND:
4	Q Okay. Are you telling me that faculty would
5	be engaged in union or concerted protected activity in
6	the classroom with students? Are you talking union
7	business or soliciting for a union or in any way
8	talking about matters covered by Chapter 447?
9	A No.
10	Q Well, why are you concerned about being
11	protected from surveillance on that if you're not going
12	to do it in the classroom?
13	MR. WAZLAVEK: Because there's a campus
14	around the classroom.
15	MR. CROSLAND: That wasn't the question,
16	though. It was in the classroom. It's a minor
17	point.
18	MR. WAZLAVEK: Okay.
19	MR. CROSLAND: Thank you.
20	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
21	MR. WAZLAVEK: Jim, are you all done on six?
22	MR. CROSLAND: On six, yeah.
23	MR. WAZLAVEK: This is just 30 seconds.
24	That's the same issue that we've been raising all
25	along about the waiver of our right to grieve.

Again, we assert that the PCP proposal is a waiver 1 2 of our right to grieve any and all terms and conditions of the limit. We insist on retaining 3 4 our right. It's the same stuff. 5 And I think we covered this yesterday, I 6 believe, but it's the same issue. We believe that the way that the college -- the college proposal 7 8 essentially would negate and make null and void --9 how the heck did that happen? 10 (Telephone interruption.) 11 MR. WAZLAVEK: Sorry folks. MR. CROSLAND: Are you through with that? 12 13 MR. WAZLAVEK: Yeah, I'm through with it. 14 you want to address any of it? 15 No, we've addressed it. MR. CROSLAND: don't need to hash this one over. 16 MR. WAZLAVEK: All right. We're at Article 9 17 18 then. 19 I think we went through a lot of MS. HEEKIN: 20 this yesterday. 21 FURTHER DIRECT EXAMINATION 22 BY MR. WAZLAVEK: Mr. Balinsky, would you explain our proposal 23 24 and faculty working conditions and workloads in 25 Article 9 and particularly the definitions in 9.01?

A Yeah. 9.01 essentially defines the -- as it states, exactly what the contact hour is, what the evening assignment entails and what a duty day is.

# Q Okay. And the definition of an evening assignment?

A An evening assignment, I believe, is 4:30 or later on. The contact hour is -- it was 50 minutes within the classroom. And then the duty day, we had some conversations yesterday that defines what the duty day is as well.

#### Q All right.

SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Do the duty day thing for me real quick again.

THE WITNESS: Okay. Duty day, there are -according to both proposals, I believe 169 duty
days. So every teaching day is not necessarily a
-- or, I'm sorry, every duty day is not
necessarily a teaching day. There are some
teacher preparation days and so on. So there are
some days where you're not teaching that's outside
of your assignment.

SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Okay. That's current practice?

THE WITNESS: Yeah, it is. Yeah, we have teacher preparation --

I guess what I'm trying 1 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: 2 to say is the definitions you are proposing are 3 current definitions in practice? 4 THE WITNESS: Yes. 5 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: At least in your view? 6 THE WITNESS: Yeah. The total number of contact days, they proposed a higher amount than 7 8 we currently have, and we have accepted that. 9 it is current practice. And, for example -- I'll give you an 10 example -- a duty day that's not a teaching day 11 would be the Wednesday before Thanksgiving at 12 13 So if you miss that day, you have to 14 sign out, for example, even though there's no 15 classes held on the Wednesday before Thanksgiving. SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: I was wondering why the 16 issue comes up, why it's important. 17 considered a workday? 18 19 THE WITNESS: Yeah. 20 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Even though you're not in the classroom? 21 22 THE WITNESS: Correct. So if it's a teacher 23 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: 24 prep day and no classrooms and you don't show up, 25 you didn't show up for a workday?

1	THE WITNESS: Right.
2	SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: So you could get
3	approved leave of some time, whatever?
4	THE WITNESS: Correct.
5	BY MR. WAZLAVEK:
6	Q Okay. Explain our proposal on compensation
7	for overload hours.
8	A So compensation for overload hours, that
9	simply notes that if you do go over the 40 hours, that
LO	you are correctly compensated.
L1	Q Okay.
L2	A I believe there was some discussion on this
L3	yesterday as well.
L4	Q Right. The overload rate is defined in
L5	Article 23, correct?
L6	A Correct. Yes. That is correct.
L7	Q All right. And then the college's favorite
L8	subject, standard workload.
L9	SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Can I ask one more
20	question?
21	MR. WAZLAVEK: Yes, sir.
22	SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Is what you're proposing
23	on the overload hours, 40 hours, different from
24	what you do now? Not you, the parties do with
25	respect to

1	THE WITNESS: Right.
2	SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Is it current practice?
3	THE WITNESS: If you went over 40 hours
4	currently?
5	SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Yes.
6	THE WITNESS: I don't really know of any
7	examples to cite from current practice.
8	SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Okay.
9	THE WITNESS: You know, it seems logical if
10	you go over 40 hours. It's hard to answer your
11	question because I don't know of any instances.
12	SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: That even makes it more
13	difficult for me to understand it.
14	THE WITNESS: Well, I think the basic logic
15	is if you go over in terms of hours, if you're
16	asked to perform four extra hours of whatever,
17	service or professional development, then you
18	should be compensated for that.
19	SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: So it's how do I say
20	this? I'm trying to put it in the 40 hours is
21	in a week?
22	THE WITNESS: Yes.
23	SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: So I have my normal
24	class schedule. And how does that equate with the
25	10 hours?

Okay. So that I -- it may be 1 THE WITNESS: 2 upcoming, but we have reached somewhat close on -with the other side relating to the workweek. 3 Ι 4 think we're fairly much in agreement on that. 5 I'll define it for you. 6 It's a 25 required hours on campus, which is class plus office -- or class schedule plus office 7 8 There's some variations related to distance 9 education, but that's the basic concept. And then 10 15 hours are divided into service, devising, 11 professional, development. 12 MS. HEEKIN: And just for reference, if you 13 want to look at the document, it's Article 13, 14 Section 3. 15 What would be a good SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: 16 exhibit to look at? I'm sorry, Article 13 would be 17 MS. HEEKIN: 18 College 8, which has our proposal and the union's 19 proposal, so you can see whether there's any 20 differences. 21 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Okay. 22 MS. HEEKIN: I'll wait until you get there, 23 that way you can read it. 24 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: I guess, real quickly, I 25 don't know what the differences are. And I just

heard you state -- and I'm not going to hold you 1 2 to it if it's otherwise -- we're pretty much in 3 agreement on that. 4 MS. HEEKIN: Yeah. 5 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Is this an issue we're 6 not agreeing on, the 40 hours? 7 MS. HEEKIN: Exactly. 8 THE WITNESS: You mean the overload part? 9 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Yes. 10 The overload pay? THE WITNESS: 11 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Yeah. THE WITNESS: Yeah, that is in dispute. 12 13 MR. CROSLAND: I have to jump in here. 14 right, overload -- the right to be paid for 15 so-called overloads is in dispute. 16 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Sure. The rate and this 17 definition of -- this threshold here of 40 hours. 18 MR. CROSLAND: But in our view, overload 19 rates and whether you get an overload or not has 20 got nothing to do with working more than 40 hours 21 These people are not truck drivers, 22 they're not teamsters, for example, they don't 23 They're exempt under the Fair clock in and out. 24 Labor Standards Act. They don't get extra money 25 for working 40 hours and one minute.

SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: So this is something 1 2 where the parties are not in agreement on? And I 3 think I have a better understanding of it now. 4 THE WITNESS: Yeah. 5 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Okay. That helped me. 6 THE WITNESS: Good. 7 Got it. SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: BY MR. WAZLAVEK: 8 9 All right. Workloads. This is the standard? 10 Yeah, we did that. 11 Is there anything you want to add to that? 12 No, we spent a lot of hours on that 13 yesterday, I think. 14 MR. CROSLAND: We can agree on that. 15 THE WITNESS: I think we can agree on that. I mean, that we spent lots of hours on it. 16 17 BY MR. WAZLAVEK: 18 Okay. I think we've already discussed this 19 one, too. We didn't really talk about that. Explain 20 our proposal on faculty members serving as program 21 chairs or lead faculty. 22 Okay. Faculty members serving as program 23 chairs or lead faculty. What this is is it designates 24 the duties of those individuals, it discusses exactly 25 what those duties are to be. It sets the conditions as it states.

And what this does is this clearly defines, for the sake of objectivity and fairness, exactly what your duties are as program chair. And this is taken from current practice.

## Q All right. And then substitute teaching?

A Substitute teaching, establishes conditions for faculty to substitute teach. If you are substituting for another individual who is out, they have to take leave; therefore, it is only reasonable that you are compensated for covering that class.

There is a difference in opinion regarding our proposal and the college's proposal in that their proposal states that you have to be teaching -- you have to be covering as a substitute two consecutive classes in order to be compensated at all, that essentially --

SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Who is saying that?

THE WITNESS: The college proposal is stating that. So essentially what that means is you're doing the first one for free, even though that individual who is not there has got to take some form of leave. So we don't feel that that's equitable.

MR. CROSLAND: Well, when you say he's got to

take leave, you don't mean the college is making 1 2 him take leave, you mean the professor wants the 3 leave? Right, the professor takes 4 THE WITNESS: 5 So the individual that is covering that 6 professor that's taking leave for whatever reason 7 is not compensated. Essentially they are being 8 asked to do the substitution for free. 9 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Can I paraphase it and 10 either one of you can correct me? 11 THE WITNESS: Yes. My nonuniversity 12 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: 13 language, substitute teaching is when a teacher is absent in a class and another teacher comes in and 14 15 covers during that class? 16 THE WITNESS: That is correct. 17 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Okay. The teacher can be absent for a lot of reasons. 18 The issue is 19 they're absent and someone else performs that 20 teacher's duties? 21 THE WITNESS: Correct. 22 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: The college is saying 23 that you get paid on the second class? 24 THE WITNESS: Correct. 25 You're saying you should SPECIAL MAGISTRATE:

be paid for all subs? 1 2 THE WITNESS: Correct. 3 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Got it. 4 THE WITNESS: We are in agreement in -- both 5 proposals are in agreement on a different point, 6 which is that you may substitute one for the other 7 without compensation, that, for example, if I 8 cover -- Bob teaches oceanography and earth 9 science and I teach the same. If I cover for Bob 10 and then Bob covers for me a week later, then 11 everything is fine. And we are both in agreement on that. 12 13 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Tradeoffs are okay? 14 THE WITNESS: Tradeoffs are okay. 15 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: That's not the right language, I'm sure. 16 17 MR. CROSLAND: Shift swapping. 18 THE WITNESS: There you go, shift swapping. 19 MR. WAZLAVEK: It doesn't cost the employer 20 anything. 21 MR. CROSLAND: Do you have your paramedic 22 license? 23 No, I sure don't. You do not THE WITNESS: 24 want me to treat people who are hurt. That would 25 be bad.

1	MR. WAZLAVEK: Jim, do you have anything you
2	want to cross on that?
3	MR. CROSLAND: No.
4	SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Okay. One other
5	question, just so I know, is there an issue that
6	the pay is compensated the overload rate between
7	the parties? I see that there.
8	MS. HEEKIN: I'm sorry, what?
9	SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Is there an issue with
10	the college on the compensation for the sub
11	MS. HEEKIN: Yes.
12	SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: That's not an issue?
13	MS. HEEKIN: No, it is not currently the
14	overload rate.
15	SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: So that's an issue also?
16	MS. HEEKIN: It is an issue, yes. There is a
17	rate for substitute teaching in our proposal which
18	I believe they had agreed to. But when we get to
19	wages, we'll look at it.
20	MR. WAZLAVEK: Okay.
21	MS. HEEKIN: Yeah, there's a separate chart
22	in there for substitute.
23	MR. WAZLAVEK: Yeah, I think I know what
24	when we get there, we'll
25	MS. HEEKIN: Yeah.

SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Okay. Spaghetti.

Go ahead.

THE WITNESS: That was all for that.

SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: I can't ask that

question in another week.

BY MR. WAZLAVEK:

# Q All right. So talk about health care division instructional faculty.

A Okay. Yes. So our proposal -- and this was discussed a little bit yesterday as regarding the 180 contact hours per semester. Just to take that at a slow pace, what that means is we are proposing that they, as a normal load, would teach four classes. The point was made yesterday that the statute states five classes with the allowable exception for other duties as approved by the President.

So our statement is that health care faculty do clinicals, they do externships, they do internships. Sometimes they're there late at night during the weekday, sometimes they work on weekends. They work with students on simulation models. There's a lot of individual attention that goes into their instruction; therefore, we feel that it's appropriate for them to fit into, if we're discussing the loading formula, the same type of reassign time that non-health care

division faculty are entitled to. 1 2 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Health care division 3 faculty is what type of class? 4 THE WITNESS: What type of class? 5 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Yes. 6 THE WITNESS: Nursing, radiologic technology, paramedic, surgical technology studies. 7 I think 8 that's it. Oh, dental hygene, dental assisting. 9 That covers most of them. SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: So what your proposal 10 here is just dealing with, at least at 9.02, is 11 health care only? 12 13 THE WITNESS: That is correct. 14 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Dealing with --THE WITNESS: 9.02 is --15 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: -- and their schedule? 16 THE WITNESS: Yes. The way that we have our 17 article organized is we have it by different 18 19 sections. That's why there were some discussions 20 yesterday about repetition of duty days. where they came from in those different sections, 21 22 so that was why he had it that way. 23 But, anyway, that's a different point. 24 yes, we do have -- health care division faculty is 25 a separate section, as is the library faculty, as

is the counselor faculty, separate from the other 1 2 teaching faculty. 3 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: So help me out here. 4 Article 23 is an article just on health care? 5 THE WITNESS: No. 6 MR. CROSLAND: No. Article 23 is wages. 7 THE WITNESS: 8 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Okay. 9 THE WITNESS: Yeah. 10 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Got it. 11 THE WITNESS: Okay. Good. 12 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: I see. I was trying to 13 -- I didn't have a title on Article 23, and you 14 said to treat them separately so I just wanted to 15 make sure. That helps to put the spaghetti 16 THE WITNESS: back together a little bit. 17 18 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Yes. THE WITNESS: So the overload rate is the 19 20 overload rate for everyone. We believe that it 21 doesn't matter if you're a full-time teaching 22 faculty that is not in health care, if you are a 23 health care faculty, if you are a library faculty, 24 the same work is the same work, so why would you 25 not pay that faculty member the same overload

rate? 1 2 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: So the crux of this is 3 that regardless of the field, I guess you would 4 say, the department, overload rates are the same 5 for everybody? 6 THE WITNESS: Yes. And the overload rate is only in dispute on the library faculty, to be 7 8 clear. 9 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Okay. 10 THE WITNESS: Their proposal is for --11 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: So what's the issue here then, health care? 12 THE WITNESS: Well, I mean, the actual 13 14 overload rate, I should say, is in dispute, to be 15 totally accurate. 16 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Okay. THE WITNESS: But the concept of who gets 17 overload rates, we believe that library faculty 18 19 should be given the same rate as everyone else. 20 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: This is health care. THE WITNESS: And they believe that -- I 21 22 I'm just saying that overload rate is the 23 same for the health care faculty as the regular 24 teaching faculty. 25 Is that how it is now? SPECIAL MAGISTRATE:

1	THE WITNESS: Yes.
2	SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Okay. It's current
3	practice. They have something different?
4	THE WITNESS: What's that?
5	SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: They have a different
6	proposal or you just want what is in the contract,
7	180?
8	THE WITNESS: Oh, yes, they have a different
9	proposal. That is correct.
10	SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Okay. That helps,
11	because they have got something different?
12	THE WITNESS: Yes.
13	SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: So you want current
14	practice?
15	THE WITNESS: Yes.
16	MR. WAZLAVEK: And would you take a minute
17	THE WITNESS: Their overload rates are tied
18	to clock hours, too, as well, but that's
19	delineated.
20	I'm sorry, Tom.
21	BY MR. WAZLAVEK:
22	Q Well, I was just going to ask you to explain
23	a little bit about why we believe that 180 contact
24	hours is the appropriate number for health care
25	faculty.

A Because of their internships, externships, because they have to be at the hospital at odd hours of the night. It's very intensive if you are -- for example, over here we have the dental hygiene clinic.

What they have over there is they have actually students come in and they give them -- it's for free, it takes about three or four hours -- but they give them free health care for their teeth, dental assisting and dental hygiene.

Well, the reason it takes a long time is that the students are actually learning as they are working on the individuals' mouths. Well, there's a lot of supervision involved. You've got to have a number of different safety parameters in place. There's a lot of work. It's very, very intensive.

And they have got to be trained for office emergencies, if something goes wrong. They've got to be trained for nitrous oxide. And then they've got to be carefully watching exactly what goes on. So those are the types of things. They may have fewer students than other areas of the college, but they've got very intensive and critical safety-related work.

SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Okay. Thank you. That helped me.

25 BY MR. WAZLAVEK:

# Q All right. Let's talk about the library faculty normal duties.

A Right. So library faculty, our article lays out the library faculty's current duties and describes what their duties are and describes what -- essentially what their duties are to be and sets the workweek at 40 hours. We're in agreement there. One and a half hours per week for a wellness program is permissible.

And because of their different hours than the rest of the college, we describe that they have the possibility for different schedules than a regular 8:00 to 5:00. And we also believe the time spent taking college required coursework is and should be counted as part of their work time. If the college is making them do the coursework for whatever reason, to help them in their job, then it should be part of their 40 hours.

#### Q Okay.

SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: I'm going to ask one question.

THE WITNESS: Let me write this down.

SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Coursework. In other words, this coursework is what?

THE WITNESS: The coursework that they might take?

SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Yes.

```
THE WITNESS: Anything that the college may
 1
 2
          require them to take. It's sort of open-ended.
 3
          If they needed to take something --
                                    Is he going someplace
 4
               SPECIAL MAGISTRATE:
 5
          else to take a class?
 6
               THE WITNESS: Not necessarily. They could be
          taking it here.
 7
 8
               SPECIAL MAGISTRATE:
                                    I mean, give me an
 9
          example of what a librarian could take as a
10
          course.
11
               THE WITNESS: If they needed to update their
          knowledge on, perhaps, library science.
12
13
               SPECIAL MAGISTRATE:
                                   Okay. So its coursework
14
          is training?
15
               THE WITNESS: Yes.
16
                                    Is this mandatory?
               SPECIAL MAGISTRATE:
17
          mean, the college says you will go do this
18
          coursework?
               THE WITNESS: Yes, it's mandatory.
19
20
               SPECIAL MAGISTRATE:
                                    It's mandatory?
21
               THE WITNESS: Yes.
                                   Okay. I'm good.
22
               SPECIAL MAGISTRATE:
23
               THE WITNESS: Yeah, required is mandatory.
24
     BY MR. WAZLAVEK:
25
               You covered it?
```

Yeah, I think we got it. And we're going to 1 2 talk about the continuing contract issue for library 3 separately, correct? 4 Q Yeah. 5 Okay. Then I won't go there yet. 6 And then explain our position here. 7 So we do have a difference in opinion Α Yeah. 8 as stated. 9 Q Sorry. 10 That's fine. The concept of -- even if our Α 11 overload rates may be different, that's a matter for 12 the wage Article 23. 13 Conceptually our point is that library 14 faculty, if they are teaching a class, they should be 15 paid at the overload rate, just as if they were 16 nonlibrary faculty. 17 Because I think --I mean, it's just fairly logical. 18 19 And I believe that the administration's Q 20 proposal is to pay at the adjunct rate? 21 Yes. And I guess my question would be why 22 would that work be worth less than if I was teaching 23 the same class? 24 Q Okay. 25 MR. CROSLAND: It's because we don't consider

1	them teaching faculty.
2	THE WITNESS: Well, we're going to get into
3	that, but they do actually teach.
4	MS. HEEKIN: Yeah, we talked about that
5	yesterday.
6	MR. CROSLAND: We've already covered this.
7	THE WITNESS: Well, we have our side on that,
8	too, though. We'll talk about it.
9	SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Well, I'm going to talk
10	about it a little bit here.
11	THE WITNESS: Go ahead.
12	SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: What's teaching a class
13	mean?
14	THE WITNESS: Well, essentially it could be
15	teaching a
16	SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Is it at the library or
17	is it going someplace else?
18	THE WITNESS: It's going someplace else,
19	yeah.
20	SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Okay.
21	THE WITNESS: It is teaching they teach
22	LIS 2004, which is introduction to information
23	literacy. They teach approximately two to three
24	total classes, not per faculty member, but per the
25	library folks' total.

UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: No, they don't. 1 2 THE WITNESS: They do teach two to three per 3 semester. I've gotten this information directly 4 from the library. 5 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Is that per librarian? 6 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry? Is that each librarian? 7 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: 8 THE WITNESS: No, not each librarian, but as 9 a unit. 10 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: So every semester 11 there's one or two or three classes that these librarians teach? 12 13 THE WITNESS: Yes. 14 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: How do you work out how 15 they assign the time? You think when they do that, that should be considered paid at the 16 overload rate? 17 THE WITNESS: Yes. 18 19 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: And the university is 20 saying that's really not teaching on the overload, it's not an overload scenario, it's an adjunct 21 professor scenario and that's the rate of pay? 22 23 And we got that THE WITNESS: Yes. 24 information directly from the library faculty as 25 far as how many they teach.

Okay. 1 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: How many is a 2 factor, but the question is what's the rate of 3 pay? 4 THE WITNESS: Right. The rate of pay is --5 in the current practice, actually they are not 6 currently paid. It is considered part of their regular duties, so we are essentially proposing a 7 8 change to current practice in that regard. 9 feel that it's fair because they're doing that 10 work. 11 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: That leads me to a 12 clarification here. If they're not currently 13 paid, then you're offering pay? 14 THE WITNESS: Yes, we are offering pay. 15 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: You decided to offer pay 16 for it? 17 MS. HEEKIN: Yeah. 18 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: But they didn't get 19 paid. The dispute is over the amount? 20 THE WITNESS: Yes. And we appreciate that 21 they are offering pay, we're just offering the 22 overload rate. 23 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Got it. 24 THE WITNESS: They also -- just in terms of 25 establishing them as teaching faculty, they are

qualified to teach student life skills, LSL. 1 2 is a course that does not require -- I think it requires a Bachelor's. I believe that is the 3 4 qualification. So I believe that any of our 5 faculty can actually teach that course, are 6 qualified to it, or almost all. 7 So the library faculty would be qualified to 8 teach that. So if they're asked to teach that 9 course, we do not really understand why that would 10 be at the adjunct rate. 11 SLS 1510, which is college success skills. 12 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: So it's for any teaching 13 assignment? THE WITNESS: For any teaching assignment, 14 15 yes. 16 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: We've got what they do, 17 but any others that come along? 18 THE WITNESS: Yes. 19 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Okay. 20 THE WITNESS: And this is more related to 21 them as being legitimate as library teaching 22 faculty. They also go to individual classes as 23 they teach information literacy in portions of 24 their classes sometimes. 25 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: That happens now?

1 THE WITNESS: Yes. So if I'm a librarian --2 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: 3 and I don't know much about librarians, other than 4 they kicked me out of the library a few times 5 many, many years ago for good reason -- I'm a 6 librarian, I'm at the library, and today I go over to a class and teach something about whatever, 7 8 related to what I do. Right now that's not 9 additional compensation? 10 THE WITNESS: Right, it is not at the moment. 11 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: It's not. You want to make that additional compensation or --12 13 THE WITNESS: Yes. 14 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: So they would come over 15 and leave the library facility and go to a classroom setting --16 17 THE WITNESS: Correct. 18 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: -- on their normal work schedule to teach a class? 19 20 THE WITNESS: Correct. 21 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: You're asking that they be paid in addition to -- how would they be paid? 22 23 They would be paid at the THE WITNESS: 24 overload rate. 25 The overload rate. SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: And

that's in addition to their other duties? 1 2 THE WITNESS: Yes. So it's kind of like an 3 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: assignment is an overload for them? 4 5 THE WITNESS: Yes. 6 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Okay. THE WITNESS: 7 Correct. 8 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Got it. Sorry. I don't 9 even know how important that is, but this is my 10 chance to ask. 11 MR. CROSLAND: Not very, I don't think. MR. WAZLAVEK: No, it's an important point. 12 13 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Librarian is important. 14 BY MR. WAZLAVEK: 15 All right. Counseling faculty. 0 16 This describes the responsibilities of 17 counseling faculty. And similarly to the library 18 faculty, it establishes a 40-hour workweek. Flexibility of scheduling, because there are times when 19 20 students are registering and things are very, very busy 21 and they need to be able to have flexibility to work 22 longer hours and then compensate for lesser hours. 23 this allows for such flexibility for them to work in 24 order to meet the needs of our students. 25 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Can I ask a question, go

1	back?
2	MR. WAZLAVEK: Yes.
3	SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Sorry.
4	MR. WAZLAVEK: It's all right.
5	SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: I know this isn't
6	riveting for everyone, so to speak. It
7	establishes a 40-hour workweek. That's what they
8	have now. Don't they have something like a
9	40-hour workweek?
10	MS. HEEKIN: We don't even have them.
11	THE WITNESS: Right, at the present time.
12	MS. HEEKIN: We have no counselors.
13	THE WITNESS: However, they're in the unit.
14	SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Oh, that's counseling?
15	MS. HEEKIN: Yes.
16	SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: That's right. Not
17	librarian, counselor.
18	MS. HEEKIN: They're in the unit. We have no
19	current counselors.
20	THE WITNESS: That is correct.
21	MS. HEEKIN: We haven't had them for several
22	years, I believe.
23	DR. MOORE-DAVIS: Right.
24	THE WITNESS: About two.
25	SPECTAL MAGISTRATE: But it's a

classification in the bargaining unit? 1 2 MS. HEEKIN: It is. 3 THE WITNESS: Yes. So if you had a 4 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Okay. 5 counselor today, they would have a 40-hour week? 6 MS. HEEKIN: Yes. 7 THE WITNESS: Yes. 8 That's no change? SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: 9 THE WITNESS: That's no change. 10 Okay. Flexibility in SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: 11 scheduling of the work, is that a change or what 12 does that mean? 13 THE WITNESS: That's not changed to current practice. What that means is if there's times 14 15 when you have registration, there's windows. 16 believe the college's window will be opening March 17 the 26th for the fall registration. So that would 18 be a time when they would be a lot busier. 19 when students have got to get their classes and 20 get in. 21 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: So that would then say 22 I'm going to work in the evening then, for 23 example? 24 THE WITNESS: Right. Yes. 25 It allows them to SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Okay.

go from the day hours, to maybe move into the 1 2 evening hours for that day, for that week? That is correct. 3 THE WITNESS: 4 MR. WAZLAVEK: Or come in on a Saturday. 5 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Okay. You mean they 6 couldn't do that the other way? THE WITNESS: It just describes the model. 7 It makes it clear. 8 9 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Okay. Got it. Counseling faculty. 10 THE WITNESS: Okay. Once again overload rates should they teach a 11 Some of our counselors would -- in the 12 13 past, because we haven't had one for a couple of 14 years -- but they were qualified. I can name one, for example, to teach classes. And once again, 15 our logic dictates overload rates make sense for 16 17 them. SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: When's the last time you 18 19 guys had a counselor? 20 THE WITNESS: We had one retire about two 21 years ago. 22 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Two years ago? She wasn't actually a 23 DR. MOORE-DAVIS: 24 counselor because her role actually changed to 25 international services, so we haven't had a

counselor for about five years. 1 2 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: 3 THE WITNESS: But she was considered a faculty counselor, that was her title. 4 5 DR. MOORE-DAVIS: Yes, she was considered, 6 because we didn't change the title. But her role changed over the last five years to international 7 8 services director. 9 MS. HEEKIN: She retired two years ago. 10 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Okay. So if you brought 11 a counselor back -- and I understand the union is concerned, we've got a bargaining unit position, 12 13 there's no one there, but we still need to -- they 14 want to know how they're going to be treated, the 15 same or differently or whatever. MS. HEEKIN: Correct me if I'm wrong, but we 16 would treat them the same as librarians. It would 17 18 be a similar -- they would have a 12-month 19 It would be similar work, 40 hours a contract. 20 If they taught a class, it would be at the 21 adjunct rate. 22 That helps me SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: 23 understand. Thanks. 24 MS. HEEKIN: Right? 25 DR. MOORE-DAVIS: Yes.

1 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Thank you. 2 THE WITNESS: Extended summer assignment. 3 counseling faculty would be able to work 210 clock 4 And that simply delineates that, that they 5 would have the opportunity for extended summer 6 assignment given that students are also registering for classes during various summer 7 8 terms at various junctures in the summer, such as 9 at the beginning of the summer, such as the 10 beginning of another six-week term, so on and so 11 forth. So this basically says 12 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: 13 -- well, they work year -- they don't work 14 year-round, do they? 15 THE WITNESS: Actually, I believe they were on an 11-month contract. 16 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Eleven month? 17 18 THE WITNESS: Yes. SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: What does this "Will 19 20 work 210 clock hours mean"? 21 THE WITNESS: That means -- so that is the 22 extended summer assignment. I guess if you count 23 up the number of hours during the regular term 24 and --25 It's extra hours? SPECIAL MAGISTRATE:

1	THE WITNESS: Well, it's extra time.
2	SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Extra time?
3	THE WITNESS: It takes the regular school
4	year and it pushes on into the summer so they can
5	have an extended assignment.
6	SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Okay. So that means
7	that would allow them to work during the summer,
8	12 months? Is that what we're talking about?
9	THE WITNESS: Eleven months.
10	SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Okay.
11	THE WITNESS: Eleven total months.
12	SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Okay. And they don't do
13	that now?
14	THE WITNESS: Well, there isn't one.
15	SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Okay.
16	THE WITNESS: They're correct on that in that
17	the international adviser retired two years ago.
18	And then previously, as far as actual counselors,
19	was about five years prior.
20	SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: And how would they be
21	compensated for that?
22	THE WITNESS: For summer work hours?
23	SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: I mean, they're not
24	doing it for free.
25	THE WITNESS: I don't know how that was

```
because it's been so long.
 1
 2
               SPECIAL MAGISTRATE:
                                    Okay.
                             I wish I did, but I don't know.
 3
               THE WITNESS:
 4
               SPECIAL MAGISTRATE:
                                    Okay.
                                           Got it.
 5
               MR. WAZLAVEK: I think they are just
 6
          basically paid at their daily rate.
 7
               SPECIAL MAGISTRATE:
                                    Okay.
 8
               MR. WAZLAVEK: They have an established daily
 9
          rate and it's just a matter of getting paid all
10
          the way through 11 months.
11
               SPECIAL MAGISTRATE:
                                    Okay.
               THE WITNESS: This lays out essential duties
12
13
          and responsibilities of faculty on nonteaching
14
          duty days. Not particularly in dispute.
     BY MR. WAZLAVEK:
15
16
                      Well, that goes back to your question
               Right.
17
     earlier about defining nonteaching days.
                                               This is that
     section?
18
19
               Yeah.
          Α
20
               All right. Now, this came up yesterday.
21
     It's 9.06 about office tasks. So explain what that
22
     language is referring to.
23
                     This is to prevent faculty from being
24
     asked on a volunteer basis to perform office tasks for
25
     free. And we did yesterday make reference to a
```

specific case where some faculty were requested by 1 2 their Dean to essentially work the office for a few 3 days because they were hiring an office person -- this 4 was like almost exactly today last year -- that person 5 was not coming in -- actually, that person was not 6 arriving until March 1 of last year. So the last week 7 or two of February, they were asked to fill in as for 8 office tasks. So this prohibits faculty from being 9 required to do that. 10 So when we talk about office tasks, what are Q 11 we referring to? 12 I'm talking about working the front desk, 13 answering the phones, meeting students when they come. 14 That is exactly what they were asked to do. 15 In other words, doing receptions, clerical? Q Correct. 16 Administrative assistant type work? 17 Q 18 Office referring to the front office. Α 19 MR. CROSLAND: For a couple of days under the circumstances when things were changing? 20 THE WITNESS: About three or four days they 21 22 were asked to do that, yes. 23 And that was a great problem? MR. CROSLAND: MS. HEEKIN: 24 That one time? 25 THE WITNESS: They're busy. They've got a

lot of work to do. They've got students. 1 2 got their duties. Is that the best for student 3 success, to have our folks to have to man the front office? 4 5 MR. CROSLAND: Got a couple of classes to 6 teach and a bunch of reassignments, right? 7 THE WITNESS: Anyway. 8 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Got it. 9 BY MR. WAZLAVEK: 10 And then last but not least in Article 9. 11 Okay. Yeah, this simply provides a process 12 by which the faculty may apply to teach in other 13 disciplines. There are cases where individuals have 14 multiple degrees. They have a degree in another area. 15 As long as it is agreeable with both divisions, then 16 both faculty members -- I'm sorry, not both faculty 17 members -- then the faculty member may teach in both 18 divisions as long as it's agreeable to both divisions 19 and fits within their work schedule. I don't think 20 we're particularly in dispute over this item. 21 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Is this a current 22 practice? 2.3 It is a current practice, yes. THE WITNESS: 24 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Okay. 25 Well, I think if you look at the MS. HEEKIN:

parties, the college has an Article 13 and union 1 2 has -- I'm sorry, Article 18 -- and the union has 3 an Article 18 that covers this very issue. 4 don't know why it needs to be in Article 9. 5 think putting language in, just like the 6 nonteaching days, several different places in a 7 contract just calls for interpretation disputes. 8 MR. WAZLAVEK: Yeah, I don't think we're at 9 I think this is just a matter of that 10 language in nine was kind of buried in there. 11 had come up with the division transfers late in 12 the game, didn't you? Wasn't that kind of a late 13 proposal? But anyway, we'll take a look at that 14 language. 15 MS. HEEKIN: Okay. 16 MR. WAZLAVEK: And see if we can resolve that 17 one. 18 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Okay. Anything else, Mr. Balinsky? 19 MR. WAZLAVEK: 20 THE WITNESS: No. 21 MR. WAZLAVEK: Cross? 22 MR. CROSLAND: No. 23 All right. We're going to MR. WAZLAVEK: 24 move on to the next article then. 25 Can we take a ten-minute SPECIAL MAGISTRATE:

break? 1 2 MR. WAZLAVEK: Sure. 3 MR. CROSLAND: Yes. 4 (Whereupon, a recess was taken.) 5 BY MR. WAZLAVEK: 6 0 We shall move to the next article. And we 7 talked about this some yesterday, but this is kind of 8 our official response, I suppose. 9 Mr. Balinsky, would you talk about annual and 10 continuing contracts for librarians and counselors? 11 Α So this matter is in dispute. I Yes. 12 believe -- or I think I did hear -- and it may have 13 been in error -- I think I heard Mr. Crosland saying yesterday something to the effect of librarians are not 14 15 faculty. And I'm not sure if you meant it that they're 16 not currently faculty or just that you do not believe 17 that they're faculty. 18 But they are currently faculty at the college and they do teach classes. But they have been 19 20 faculty -- they have been considered to be faculty for 21 approximately six years, and that is currently what 22 they do. They are helpful to the teaching faculty in 23 their disciplines. They're assigned to work with those 24 divisions as a singular liaison to that division 25 providing considerable support for a lot of the

coursework in there. 1 Okay. And you will find in your packet that 2 Exhibit 6 and 7. And TCC has that packet as well. 3 4 those two documents are the State Board of Education 5 rule that provides for continuing contract and TCC's 6 policy on the same. And in those, the faculty -- and I 7 think librarians are specifically mentioned, right? 8 I've reviewed both of those, and there's 9 nothing that precludes the State Board of Education 10 rule for library to be faculty. 11 So are we going to renumber MS. HEEKIN: seven and eight, because I've got UFF 6 was the 12 13 presentation? MR. WAZLAVEK: I was about -- that's fine. 14 15 You want to make them seven and eight instead of six and seven? 16 MS. HEEKIN: Well, that way we only have one. 17 MR. WAZLAVEK: 18 Okay. 19 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: I have TCC faculty 20 teaching load and class. Is that what you're talking about, Union 6? 21 22 MS. HEEKIN: Union 6, right, is the 23 presentation, TCC presentation. 24 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Yeah, presentation. 25 So seven will end up being their MS. HEEKIN:

1	State Board of Education Rule 6A-14.0411.
2	MR. WAZLAVEK: Right.
3	SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Where is that in here?
4	MR. WAZLAVEK: It should be in your binder
5	the very back tab.
6	SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Okay. Florida Statute
7	what?
8	MR. WAZLAVEK: It was a state board rule.
9	SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Okay.
10	MS. HEEKIN: It's listed as Exhibit 6 on that
11	list of exhibits in the back behind four.
12	SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Six is the presentation
13	for me.
14	MS. HEEKIN: Correct. Behind the Tab 4 is
15	the list.
16	SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Okay.
17	MS. HEEKIN: So if you look on that list,
18	it's listed as Exhibit 6, it's now going to be
19	seven.
20	SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Okay.
21	MS. HEEKIN: And then what's listed as
22	Exhibit 7, the Policy 05-02, is now going to be
23	UFF 8.
24	SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Okay. Got it.
25	(UFF Exhibit Nos. 7 and 8 were marked for

identification.) 1 2 THE WITNESS: So the State Board of Education 3 rule does not say anything precluding library from being full-time faculty and TCC policy 05-02 is 4 5 the same. 6 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Question. MR. WAZLAVEK: Yes, sir. 7 8 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Real quick. As you see, 9 I'm asking the same question. Can you go back 10 one? 11 MR. WAZLAVEK: Sure. SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Obviously you've had 12 librarians for a while. What's the current 13 14 practice? 15 MR. WAZLAVEK: The current practice is they're eligible to continue. 16 17 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: In other words, your 18 position is current practice, no change? 19 THE WITNESS: Correct. They are attempting 20 to remove something they currently have. 21 SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Okay. Go ahead. 22 MR. WAZLAVEK: All right. That's really -- I think that's all of Article 11. We'll introduce 23 that. That should be Exhibit 9 instead of 24 25 Exhibit 8.

```
(UFF Exhibit No. 9 was marked for
 1
 2
          identification.)
     BY MR. WAZLAVEK:
 3
 4
               But this is a list of -- I'm sorry,
 5
     Mr. Balinsky, will you please identify the document?
 6
          Α
                     This is a survey that was taken, data
 7
     gathered from around the state by our library faculty
 8
     regarding whether the librarians are considered faculty
 9
     at other colleges and not faculty at other colleges.
10
     believe that, quote, in the newspaper Mr. Crosland
11
     stated that they were attempting to simply bring the
12
     position of librarian in line with the rest of the
13
     state as not faculty. However, as the data indicates,
14
     that it is more common for them to be faculty than to
15
     be not faculty around the community college system, at
16
     least in our state.
17
               MR. WAZLAVEK: And that will be Exhibit 9,
18
          like I said.
19
               SPECIAL MAGISTRATE:
                                     Got it.
20
     BY MR. WAZLAVEK:
21
               This is the same issue of the grievance
22
     waiver issue, right?
23
               Right.
          Α
24
               MR. WAZLAVEK:
                              Cross?
25
               MR. CROSLAND:
                              No.
```

1	MR. WAZLAVEK: We're at 13. I think we've
2	pretty much kind of gone through 13.
3	MR. CROSLAND: Can we go back to 11, please?
4	MS. HEEKIN: It's Exhibit 9, the survey.
5	MR. WAZLAVEK: I'm too far ahead. You want
6	Article 9, you said?
7	MS. HEEKIN: The one with the survey,
8	Exhibit 9, UFF Exhibit 9.
9	SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: You were one step away
10	from it, I think, or two.
11	MR. CROSLAND: I'm just trying to confuse
12	you, Tom.
13	MR. WAZLAVEK: Well, it doesn't take much.
14	MS. HEEKIN: I have a question. Where you
15	have Santa Fe, that was one of those Aspen
16	winners, right?
17	THE WITNESS: Yes.
18	MS. HEEKIN: What does that "not applicable"
19	mean?
20	THE WITNESS: It means no data.
21	MS. HEEKIN: You don't know whether
22	THE WITNESS: No.
23	MR. WAZLAVEK: they're considered faculty
24	or not?
25	THE WITNESS: Yeah, we didn't get data from

1	those individuals.
2	MS. HEEKIN: Okay. But the other Aspen
3	school was Valencia, right?
4	THE WITNESS: Right.
5	MS. HEEKIN: And they're not faculty there,
6	correct?
7	THE WITNESS: Right.
8	SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Hold on a second. I
9	don't have that. Here it is.
10	MR. WAZLAVEK: It's the slide.
11	SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: I misnumbered there.
12	MS. HEEKIN: Okay. That's it. Thank you.
13	THE WITNESS: You're welcome.
14	SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: I'm glad you brought
15	that up because I misnumbered it.
16	MS. HEEKIN: One more question. So on that
17	list you have St. Pete was not applicable. You
18	didn't have data on that?
19	THE WITNESS: We didn't have data on that.
20	MS. HEEKIN: Are you aware that PERC has
21	issued an order saying that they're excluded from
22	the faculty bargaining unit?
23	THE WITNESS: I'm aware there's an issue with
24	library faculty at St. Pete College, yeah. That's
25	a pretty good issue down there.

1	MS. HEEKIN: Okay.
2	THE WITNESS: I'm not familiar with the PERC
3	order, but I'm familiar that that's a big
4	contention at St. Pete.
5	MS. HEEKIN: Okay.
6	MR. CROSLAND: Well, it's not an issue
7	anymore. They're out.
8	MS. HEEKIN: Yeah, PERC issued an order
9	excluding them from the bargaining unit. But
10	that's okay, we can submit that as part of the
11	brief.
12	MR. CROSLAND: It's just legal authority.
13	BY MR. WAZLAVEK:
14	Q Was the St. Pete effort in overriding
15	successful?
16	A It was not successful.
17	Q And our library is considered faculty in this
18	bargaining unit?
19	A They are.
20	Q Okay. This is the same issue. I think
21	that's where we're at right now.
22	Discuss our proposal on advanced notice for
23	classroom observation. It's in the rationale claim.
24	A Yeah. I would like to begin by discussing
25	something that was mentioned yesterday. An example was

given yesterday of an instance where four or five individuals would come to the Provost and would have a complaint about a faculty member, and the comment was made in response that it would be necessary for the Provost or the Dean, perhaps, to immediately go and observe that classroom.

And the question in my mind was then why is it if four or five students come to the Provost with a complaint, would it not be the next step for that administrator to contact the faculty member, not to go observe their classroom? So I had an objection with that.

Our proposal is as a professional courtesy that advance notice would be provided for classroom observation. That is the current practice.

#### Q What's the logic behind asking for advanced?

A The logic behind asking for advanced notice is so that individuals are not feeling a chilling effect that the Dean or the other administrator is not hoovering over them and entering their classroom at any time. I understand if the AC is broken or if there's some immediate issue, that that is not -- that that would be an exceptional case. But as a general concept and a general rule, we don't understand why as professionals we would need to be immediately popped in

on by administrators as we're teaching our classroom.

#### Q So it's an issue of trust then?

A It's an issue of trust, as discussed previously.

## Q All right. Talk about class size.

A Class size. UFF proposal would count class size of online or hybrid classes. So this is a strong objection that we have to the college's proposal that there are no class limits set to an online class and that what if the college decided to suddenly put 80 students in my online class, what would prevent that? That's a rhetorical question.

And similarly, 48 hour notice before an administrator could observe an online class. Again, it would have a chilling effect on faculty members, that they feel like they're being watched at any moment by the administration. We feel like it's a professional courtesy they would be given advanced notice.

#### Q That's the same issue, right?

A Same issue.

SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Go back. I was going to ask this question. I'm more familiar with high school, down to grade school teaching systems and procedures. You do not have a -- they don't have classroom observations for evaluation purposes,

1	correct?
2	MR. WAZLAVEK: Yeah, they do.
3	SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: They do?
4	MR. WAZLAVEK: That's the problem is that
5	they want to come in unannounced. It's the same
6	issue that you face in K12 where the teachers want
7	some advanced notice to be able to put their best
8	foot forward to create a lesson plan.
9	SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: But you have that?
10	MR. WAZLAVEK: Yeah.
11	SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: But that's with advanced
12	notice now?
13	THE WITNESS: Yes. That's correct.
14	MS. HEEKIN: Under Article 21, which is the
15	faculty evaluation, for the evaluation process
16	there's advanced notice.
17	SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Okay.
18	MS. HEEKIN: It goes through that whole
19	process, exactly.
20	SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Okay.
21	MS. HEEKIN: These other things about just
22	being able to go in is not for the purpose of the
23	evaluation process. It's if there's an issue.
24	SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Got it.
25	MR. WAZLAVEK: Article 21 is the grievance

1	issue again. Here we go.
2	All right. We're up you're up now, I
3	think.
4	MR. CROSLAND: It's noon. What do you want
5	to do?
6	MS. HEEKIN: I have one question for Martin.
7	THE WITNESS: Sure.
8	MS. HEEKIN: Could we just go back to the
9	class size on the distance education?
10	THE WITNESS: Class size, yes.
11	MS. HEEKIN: Yeah. I just have a question
12	about it. What do you mean by this? Are you
13	trying to say that the same load formula will work
14	for the online classes? Is that what this is?
15	THE WITNESS: Sure.
16	MS. HEEKIN: That's all I wanted to clarify.
17	THE WITNESS: Yeah.
18	MR. WAZLAVEK: All right. Are we doing
19	lunch?
20	SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Let me ask. I don't
21	know how far along we are today, so how far are we
22	along?
23	MR. WAZLAVEK: We're close. The wages is the
24	other big issue to deal with. And once we get
25	through that then

1	SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: And then you have some
2	other witnesses, don't you?
3	THE WITNESS: Well, we have Dr. Moore-Davis.
4	SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Okay.
5	MS. HEEKIN: She's going to come on for some
6	rebuttal. And then we have Dr. Wills is going
7	to do the finance portion of it and the wages and
8	the benefits. And then after, there's probably
9	something very little on discipline and the
10	academic calendar and that's it.
11	MR. CROSLAND: Discipline and the academic
12	calendar and the duration. Those three will take
13	about 15 minutes.
14	MS. HEEKIN: Yeah.
15	SPECIAL MAGISTRATE: Okay. It's probably
16	just as good to go to lunch now. It's a good
17	place to break.
18	(Whereupon, a luncheon recess was taken.)
19	(CONTINUED IN VOLUME 4)
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	